Committees: Streets & Walkways Sub Committee [for decision] Projects and Procurement Sub Committee [for Information]	Dates: 30 January 2024 12 February 2024
Subject: 1 Leadenhall Street Section 278 Highway works Unique Project Identifier: 12256	Gateway 3/4/5: Options Appraisal and Authority to Start Work (Regular)
Report of: Interim Executive Director Environment Report Author: Daniel Laybourn	For Decision
PUBLIC	

1. Status updates	Project Description: Section 278 (S278) highway works to facilitate the new development at 1 Leadenhall Street.
	RAG Status: Green (no status at last report)
	Risk Status : Low – project is fully reimbursable (low at previous report)
	Total Estimated Cost (excluding risk and maintenance) : £786,777
	Change in Total Estimated Cost (excluding risk and maintenance) : No substantial change as the total estimated project cost is £31,000 over the previous range of £0.55m - £0.8m.
	Spend to Date (as of 13 th November 2023): £86,596
	Costed Risk Provision utilised : None. CRP has not previously been requested.
	Funding Source: Section 278 contributions
	Slippage : +18 months on the previously estimated construction start date. This has occurred due to delays in the developer's programme.

2. Next steps and		Next Gateway: Gateway 6: Outcome Report				
	requested decisions	Next Steps: Co finalise the cor commencing on s	nstruction planr	•		
		Requested Decis	sions:			
		It is recommende Walkways Sub-C		of the Streets	s and	
		 Approve an £831,006 (risk and co subject to f Approve the requested the Execut authorise t Approve the 5. Note the resoft costed r Approve the of costed r Approve the construction Approve the construction Agree that Office, in c & Walkway necessary, decisions t the 'City of (Changes t 	n increase in the an increase of £ mmuted maintent the receipt of fun- the Risk Register Costed Risk Pro- ive Director Envi he drawdown of the drawdown of the Commuted Ma evised total proje- isk and commuted 2 ; the design option	approved bu 686,777, excl nance) to reac- ids from the E in Appendix vision of £139 ironment is de funds from the aintenance su ect cost of £93 ed maintenan shown in App rogramme Ma the Chairman ee and Chief (ether any proj ne remit of pa Procedure – eral), as prese is to be deleg	luding costed ch Gateway 6 Developer; 3 and the 9,000, and that elegated to is register; im of £5,229; 81,006 inclusive ce as detailed in Dendix 4 for anagement of the Streets Officer as fect issues or ragraph 45 of Oct 2023' cribed in	
	3. Budget	Item	Reason	Funds/ Source of Funding	Cost (£)	
	Environmental Services (Highways) Staff costs	To enable Highways staff to undertake design and supervision work to reach Gateway 6	S278 Developer funding	£48,000		
		Planning and Transportation (P&T) Staff costs	To enable City P&T staff to project manage the scheme to reach Gateway 6	S278 Developer funding	£30,000	

Fees	To fund work by external parties required to reach Gateway 6 such as but not limited to surveys and temporary traffic orders.	S278 Developer funding	£26,173
Works	Funding for construction costs.	S278 Developer funding	£521,982
Utilities	Funding for provisional and confirmed utility alterations	S278 Developer funding	£60,622
		Sub-total	£686,777
Risk	S278 Developer fu details can be fou Appendix 2 – Ris	nd in	£139,000
Commuted Maintenance	S278 Developer for chargeable amoun for the future main implications of the	nt to account ntenance	£5,229
		Project Total	£831,006
<u>Environmenta</u> Approximately	cial information is sl <u>I Services (Highway</u> 400 hours of ad the team to plan, f the work.	v <u>s) Staff Costs</u> ditional staff	<u>s</u> time has bee
It has been e that already a to be underta Manager and Tasks within	Transportation Staff stimated that an ac pproved, will be rec aken by a Project Project Director their remit are o keholder engager tasks.	dditional 250 quired to acco t Manager, F to reach the versight of t	ount for the wor Principal Projec next Gateway the constructio
external to th	£26,173 is reques le project such as, emporary traffic orde	, but not lim	
<u>Works</u> City Engineer	s have estimated	that the prop	

City Engineers have estimated that the proposed works will cost £521,982. The works themselves are shown in **Appendix**

Г	
	4 and detailed in section 4 of this report.
	<u>Utilities</u> £60,622 is requested to fund for provisional and confirmed alterations to apparatus affected by the S278 work proposals which is owned by statutory undertakers.
	<u>Commuted Maintenance</u> £5,229 is requested to account for the future maintenance implications of the scheme, fully funded by the developer and chargeable at the end of the project. Specifically, these are to cover maintenance uplifts for street furniture, highway areas constructed in setts and where areas which were previously paved in Mastic now being paved in Yorkstone.
	Costed Risk Provision requested for this Gateway: £139,000 (as detailed in the Risk Register – Appendix 2)
4. Overview of project options	The Section 278 proposals shown in Appendix 4 have been developed in conjunction with the Developer to both accommodate and complement the new building, and to comply with the City's Public Realm Supplementary Planning Document, Transport Strategy and Climate Action Strategy. As required by the S.278 Agreement the developer has been consulted on the specifications and their comments considered. It also further promotes points 1 and 9 of the City's Corporate Plan. Broadly the scheme consists of, but is not limited to:
	 A reconstructed Whittington Avenue in granite setts with a flush footway/ carriageway surface. A reconstructed and widened footway on Leadenhall Street which delivers part of the City Cluster Vision to make the street a more pedestrian-focused environment; Carriageway resurfacing and reprofiling on all affected streets where required; Alterations to utilities and drainage in the locality of the Development as required to meet the scope of the section 278 work; Amended and additional street furniture and signage around the Development. This will include the replacement of the previous gate at the top of Whittington Avenue with removable bollards to improve cycle permeability.
	footways and carriageways are reinstated as they were previously. This would result in drainage and levels issues

	around the development and would leave these areas sub- standard. Also, the work on Leadenhall Street would not meet the objectives of the City Cluster Vision. Therefore, this option is not recommended.
	Street lighting is not included with the scope of this project and is instead dealt with separately by the City Highways team in accordance with the City's Lighting Strategy. Also not included are any changes to Gracechurch Street on the western elevation of the development. As this street forms part of the Transport for London Road Network (TLRN), it is subject to a separate agreement between the Developer and Transport for London where they have highways responsibilities. Therefore, this report solely relates to the aspects of the development that sit with the City as the Highway Authority.
5. Recommended option	It is recommended by Officers that the design shown in Appendix 4 and outlined in this report is progressed into construction.
	Whilst detailed construction planning is on-going, it's currently planned that construction would start in Spring 2024. In total, construction is expected to last approximately 6-8 months. This is longer than usual due to the time it will take to lay the setts in Whittington Avenue, the required drainage works and programming the construction activities around the developer's construction programme. Project closure for all projects would then occur approximately six months after this in 2025.
	To support these recommendations, Officers have undertaken City of London Streets Accessibility Tool (CoLSAT) and Healthy Streets assessments on the proposed design.
	The Healthy Streets assessments, shown in Appendix 5 , showed an approximately 5 percentage points score increase above the existing street scores. The CoLSAT assessments, summarised overpage and detailed in Appendix 6 , indicated in broad terms an improvement in accessibility of a third over the current environment. In some instances, it's not possible to improve on some of the lower scores such as proximity of bus stops, blue badge parking and accessible toilets due to the scope of the project. Also, by virtue of a flush carriageway and footway as proposed for Whittington Avenue, there is a zero score due to the lack of kerb edge but the benefits of the flush surface are believed to outweigh this.

	CoLSAT Summary Results Table				
		Total 0 scores* – severe accessibility issue		Total 1 scores**- significant accessibility issues	
		Before	After	Before	After
	Electric Wheelchair user	1			
	Manual Wheelchair user	1			
	Mobility Scooter user	1			
	Walking Aid user			3	2
	Person with a walking impairment			7	4
	Long cane user	4	2		1
	Guide Dog user	1	1	4	3
	Residual Sight user			4	2
	Deaf or Hearing impairment			4	2
	Acquired neurological impairment			3	2
	Autism/Sensory-processing				
	diversity Developmental Impairment	3	1	7	5
	Total	11	4	32	21
	Pedestrian Comfort Level the separate City Cluste indicate a slight improvem assessments have not Avenue as there is no pede	r Vision nent fron been ບ	Leaden 'B' to Indertake	hall Stre 'A' score en on N	eet projec s. Simila Whittingtor
6. Risk	 The overall risk level of this project is estimated to be low due to the standard nature of the construction activities involved. The project is fully funded by the Developer and any reasonable costs will be met by them under the terms of the S278 agreement. The aforementioned delays in their programme, whilst unfortunate, have not had any substantive impact on the City. The Costed Risk Register submitted for approval can be seen in Appendix 3. Traffic Implications In exercising its traffic authority functions, the City is under a duty to "secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians)" so far as practicable (S.122 Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984). Temporary traffic orders will be required, and regard will be had to this duty in making them. The scheme proposals have no impact on the current access arrangements for vehicles and 				

	will deliver improvements for people walking and cycling.
	Legal Implications A section 278 Agreement in respect of the works the subject of this report was entered into with the associated developer on 18 November 2021. It provides for reasonable payment to be made for the Section 278 Works by the developer (after consultation regarding the specifications for the works). Payment must be made within 28 days of invoicing, The invoice cannot be issued until six months prior to commencement of the Section 278 Works. As such, an invoice should be issued six months prior once the commencement date is available. All other legal implications are included in the body of the report.
	Equalities As a Public Authority, the City must have due regard to equality considerations when exercising its functions (section 149 Equality Act 2010). Therefore, an independent Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) has been undertaken by WSP on the proposed overall design. This and responses to it can be seen in Appendix 7 . These issues have been mitigated during the design.
7. Procurement approach	Highway construction works will be delivered by the City's Highway Term Contractor, FM Conway.
8. Design summary	 Reconstructed footway and carriageway in Whittington Avenue; A reconstructed and widened footway on Leadenhall Street; Carriageway resurfacing and reprofiling where required; Alterations to utilities and drainage in the locality of the Development; and Amended and additional street furniture and signage around the Development, including the replacement of the previous gate at the top of Whittington Avenue with removable bollards.
9. Delivery team	Project management will be provided by the project team within the Transport and Public Realm Projects Team. Highway construction works will be delivered by the City's Highway Term Contractor, FM Conway, with construction supervision undertaken in-house by City Highway Engineers.
10.Success criteria	 To create additional space for people to walk safely To increase the extent of pedestrian-priority streets, in line with the aims of the Transport Strategy. To ensure the street environment can accommodate the predicted increase in footfall as a result of the new development.

11.Progress reporting	Officers will report via monthly Project Vision updates. Should it be required, issues requiring further decisions by Members will be brought back as an Issue Report. Any delegated decisions taken will be reported back to Committee.
--------------------------	---

Appendices

Appendix 1	Project Coversheet
Appendix 2	Financial Information
Appendix 3	Risk Register
Appendix 4	Scheme Design
Appendix 5	Healthy Streets Assessments
Appendix 6	CoLSAT Assessments
Appendix 7	Equalities Impact Assessment
Appendix 8	Paragraph 45 from Project Procedures

Contact

Report Author	Daniel Laybourn
Email Address	Daniel.Laybourn@cityoflondon.gov.uk